UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,835	12/22/2005	Takanori Ueda	126442	3018
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 07/07/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/561,835	UEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TU M. NGUYEN	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060322,20070413.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/561,835 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

application.

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on December 22, 2005 has been entered. Claims 5, 7, 9, and 12-15 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-15 are pending in this

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of open ended phrase "comprises" on line 3. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because the phrase "capable of" on line 4 of the claim renders the claim indefinite. Therefore, "capable of" should read --adapted for--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,835 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

5. Claims 1-11, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Silva (U.S. Patent 4,606,319).

Re claim 1, as shown in Figures 1-5, Silva discloses a fuel fractionation method for an internal combustion engine (1), comprising the steps of:

- applying an operation for promoting a fractionation of a fuel of the internal combustion engine to a fractionation passage (6) while making the fuel flow to the fractionation passage, thereby fractionating the fuel into a gas phase fuel and a liquid phase fuel within the fractionation passage (see line 33 of column 6 to line 53 of column 7);
- conducting the fractionated gas phase fuel and the fractionated liquid phase fuel to a branch point (11) of the fractionation passage; and
- separating (see Figure 5) the gas phase fuel and the liquid phase fuel to an upper branch passage (34) and a lower branch passage(42), respectively due to gravity.

Re claim 2, as depicted in Figures 1-5, Silva discloses a fuel fractionation apparatus for an internal combustion engine (1), comprising:

- a fractionation passage (6) which is connected to a fuel supply system of the internal combustion engine and reaches a branch point (11) of a terminal end through a fractionation section to which a fractionation promoting effect of a fuel is applied;
- a liquid phase branch passage (42) which is branched to a lower side from the branch point; and
- a gas phase branch passage (34) which is branched to an upper side than the liquid phase branch passage from the branch point.

Re claims 3-4, in the apparatus of Silva, an inlet of the liquid phase branch passage (42) is provided with a gas phase fuel inflow inhibiting portion (43) for inhibiting the gas phase fuel from flowing into a downstream side of the liquid phase branch passage due to an existence of the liquid phase fuel, wherein an orifice is provided in the gas phase fuel inflow inhibiting portion.

Re claims 5, 6, and 13, in the apparatus of Silva, the fractionation section extends through an area (5) to which a heating operation is applied due to a heat wasted from the internal combustion engine as the fractionation promoting operation, wherein an exhaust heat of the internal combustion engine is utilized as the heat wasted from the internal combustion engine, wherein the fractionation section (6) is provided within the exhaust passage (23, 24) of the internal combustion engine (see Figure 2), and the branch point (11) is provided in an outer side of the exhaust passage.

Re claims 7, 8, and 15, the apparatus of Silva comprises a pressure regulating device (16) for regulating a pressure within the fractionation passage as a device for generating the fractionation promoting operation; and a pressure control device (17, 55) for controlling an operation of the pressure regulating device based on a temperature of the fuel flowing through the fractionation passage (see lines 3-18 of column 9), wherein the fractionation section is provided so as to carry out heat exchange between the fractionation section and an engine main body (10, 24) surrounding a combustion chamber in the internal combustion engine.

Re claims 9-11, the apparatus of Silva comprises:

- a temperature detecting device (see lines 3-5 of column 9) for detecting a temperature of the branch point;

Application/Control Number: 10/561,835

Art Unit: 3748

- a temperature adjusting device (19, 9, 4) adapted for adjusting the temperature of the branch point; and

Page 5

- a temperature control device (17, 55) for controlling an operation of the temperature adjusting device based on the temperature detected by the temperature detecting device such that the temperature of the branch point is maintained at a predetermined target temperature,

wherein the temperature adjusting device changes a flow rate of the fuel conducted to the fractionation section so as to adjust the temperature of the branch point (see lines 3-18 of column 9), and

wherein the temperature control device operates the temperature adjusting device such that the flow rate of the fuel conducted to the fractionation section is limited to a minimum value when the temperature detected by the temperature detecting device deflects from an allowable range with respect to the target temperature.

6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 13, and 15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirota et al. (U.S. Patent 5,189,876).

Re claims 1-2, as illustrated in Figure 9, Hirota et al. disclose a fuel fractionation method and a fuel fractionation apparatus for an internal combustion engine (1), the apparatus comprising:

- a fractionation passage (32) which is connected to a fuel supply system (20) of the internal combustion engine and reaches a branch point (24) of a terminal end through a fractionation section to which a fractionation promoting effect of a fuel is applied;
- a liquid phase branch passage (not numbered but clearly shown) which is branched to a lower side from the branch point; and

- a gas phase branch passage (25) which is branched to an upper side than the liquid phase branch passage from the branch point.

Re claims 5, 6, and 13, in the apparatus of Hirota et al., the fractionation section extends through an area (14) to which a heating operation is applied due to a heat wasted from the internal combustion engine as the fractionation promoting operation, wherein an exhaust heat of the internal combustion engine is utilized as the heat wasted from the internal combustion engine, wherein the fractionation section (32) is provided within the exhaust passage (14) of the internal combustion engine (1), and the branch point (24) is provided in an outer side of the exhaust passage.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silva or Hirota et al. as applied to claim 5 above.

The apparatus of Silva or Hirota et al. discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to disclose that an exhaust gas purifying device is provided in the exhaust passage of the internal combustion engine, and the fractionation section is provided so as to carry out heat

Art Unit: 3748

exchange between the fractionation section and the exhaust passage in a downstream side of the exhaust gas purifying device.

With regard to applicants claim directed to the placing the fractional section at a downstream location of an exhaust gas purifying device, the specification of such would have been an obvious matter of design choice well within the level of ordinary skill in the art depending on design variables, such as the availability of spacing in the exhaust passage, etc. Moreover, there is nothing in the record which establishes that the specification of such presents a novel of unexpected result (See *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

- 10. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on March 22, 2006 and April 13, 2007 have been considered. An initialized copy of each is attached hereto.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of four patents: Pitti (U.S. Patent 4,784,092), Shetley et al. (U.S. Patent 6,758,194), Shetley (U.S. Patent 6,843,236), and Uitenbroek (U.S. Patent 6,953,029) further disclose a state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,835 Page 8

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tu M. Nguyen/

TMN Tu M. Nguyen

July 3, 2009 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748